

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/074,814	02/13/2002	Mitchell J. Hubert	004027.00019 8084		
26799	7590 05/08/2006		EXAMINER		
	DEPARTMENT	WEBB, GREGORY E			
	E & SECURITY SERVIC NCENTER ROAD	LES	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOCA RATON, FL 33486			1751		
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/200	DATE MAILED: 05/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/074,814	HUBERT ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Gregory E. Webb	1751

	Gregory E. Webb	1751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, is a superstant with the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, is a superstant with a final rejection, is a superstant and su	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12			(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·•	·	,
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 19-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N I sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered and necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.			ice because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	P10/SB/08 or P10-1449) Paper N	NO(S)	
	Minn	Gregory E. Webb	

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1751

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's response does not provide any concrete evidence as to the novelty of their invention. The applicant states the prior art fails to teach "slow drain" but has only provided arguments as support. As no evidence has been provided prior rejections are maintained.